arXiv:1212.1004v1 [stat.ME] 5 Dec 2012

Rates of convergence of extremes from skew normal samples

[a]Xin Liao [a]Zuoxiang Peng [b]Saralees Nadarajah [c]Xiaoqian Wang
[a]*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University, Chongqing, 400715 China*
[b]*School of Mathematics, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom*
[c]*Institute of Mathematics, School of Mathematics Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, 210097 China*

Abstract For a skew normal random sequence, convergence rates of the distribution of its partial maximum to the Gumbel extreme value distribution are derived. The asymptotic expansion of the distribution of the normalized maximum is given under an optimal choice of norming constants. We find that the optimal convergence rate of the normalized maximum to the Gumbel extreme value distribution is proportional to $1/\log n$.

Keywords Extreme value distribution; Maximum; Rate of convergence; Skew normal distribution.

AMS 2000 subject classification Primary 62E20, 60G70; Secondary 60F15, 60F05.

1 Introduction

The biggest weakness of the normal distribution is its inability to model skewed data. This has led to several skewed extensions of the normal distribution. The most popular and the most studied of these extensions is the skew normal distribution due to Azzalini (1985). A random variable X is said to have a standard skew-normal distribution with shape parameter $\lambda \in R$ (written as $X \sim \mathrm{SN}(\lambda)$) if its probability density function (pdf) is

$$f_\lambda(x) = 2\phi(x)\Phi(\lambda x), \quad -\infty < x < +\infty,$$

where $\phi(\cdot)$ denotes the standard normal pdf and $\Phi(\cdot)$ denotes the standard normal cumulative distribution function (cdf). It is known that SN(0) is a standard normal random variable.

The skew normal distribution has received more applications than any other extension of the normal distribution. Its applications are too many to list. Some applications of the skew normal distribution that have appeared in the past year alone include: the distribution of threshold voltage degradation in nanoscale transistors by using reaction-diffusion and percolation theory (Islam and Alam, 2011); population structure of Schima superba in Qingliangfeng National Nature Reserve (Liu et al., 2011); rain height models to predict fading due to wet snow on terrestrial links (Paulson and Al-Mreri, 2011); modeling of seasonal rainfall in Africa (Siebert and Ward, 2011); modeling of HIV viral loads (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2012); multisite flooding hazard assessment in the Upper Mississippi River (Ghizzoni et al., 2012); modeling of diabetic macular Edema data (Mansourian et al., 2012); risks of macroeconomic forecasts (Pinheiro and Esteves, 2012); modeling of current account balance data (Saez et al., 2012); automated neonatal EEG classification (Temko et al., 2012).

The aim of this note is to establish the convergence rate of the distribution of the maxima for samples obeying SN(λ). Chang and Genton (2007) showed that SN(λ) belongs to the domain of attraction of the Gumbel extreme value distribution $\Lambda(x) = \exp(-\exp(-x))$. Rates of convergence of the distribution of maxima for a sequence of independent $SN(0)$ random variables were studied by Hall (1979), Leadbetter et al. (1983) and Nair (1981). Precisely speaking, Leadbetter et al. (1983) proved that

$$\Phi^n(\alpha_n x + \beta_n) - \Lambda(x) \sim \frac{e^{-x}\exp(-e^{-x})}{16}\frac{(\log\log n)^2}{\log n}$$

holds for large n with normalized constants α_n and β_n given by

$$\alpha_n = (2\log n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_n = \alpha_n^{-1} - \frac{\alpha_n}{2}(\log\log n + \log 4\pi).$$

The optimal uniform convergence rate of $\Phi^n(\widetilde{a}_n x + \widetilde{b}_n)$ to $\Lambda(x)$ due to Hall (1979) is

$$\frac{\mathbb{C}_1}{\log n} < \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\left|\Phi^n\left(\widetilde{a}_n x + \widetilde{b}_n\right) - \Lambda(x)\right| < \frac{\mathbb{C}_2}{\log n}$$

for some absolute constants $0 < \mathbb{C}_1 < \mathbb{C}_2$ with normalized constants $\widetilde{a}_n$ and $\widetilde{b}_n$ determined by

$$2\pi\widetilde{b}_n^2\exp\left(\widetilde{b}_n^2\right) = n^2, \quad \widetilde{a}_n = \widetilde{b}_n^{-1}.$$

The following more informative result was established by Nair (1981):

$$\overline{b}_n^2\left(\overline{b}_n^2\left(\Phi^n\left(\overline{a}_n x + \overline{b}_n\right) - \Lambda(x)\right) - \overline{\kappa}(x)\Lambda(x)\right) \to \left(\overline{\omega}(x) + \frac{\overline{\kappa}^2(x)}{2}\right)\Lambda(x),$$

where the normalized constants $\overline{a}_n$ and $\overline{b}_n$ are given by

$$1 - \Phi\left(\overline{b}_n\right) = n^{-1}, \quad \overline{a}_n = \overline{b}_n^{-1},$$

where $\overline{\kappa}(x)$ and $\overline{\omega}(x)$ are defined as

$$\overline{\kappa}(x) = 2^{-1}\left(x^2 + 2x\right)e^{-x} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\omega}(x) = -8^{-1}\left(x^4 + 4x^3 + 8x^2 + 16x\right)e^{-x}.$$

The contents of this note are organized as follows. Section 2 derives some preliminary results related to SN(λ) like Mills inequalities, Mills ratios, and the distributional tail representation of SN(λ) for $\lambda \neq 0$. Convergence rates of the distribution of the maxima of SN(λ) and related proofs are given in Section 3. In the sequel we shall assume that the shape parameter $\lambda \neq 0$.

2 Preliminary results

In this section, some preliminary but important properties about SN(λ) are derived. These properties not only imply that SN(λ) belongs to the max-domain of attraction of the Gumbel extreme value distribution but they also help us to find two pairs of norming constants.

The following Mills inequality and Mills ratio about SN(0) due to Mills (1926) are needed in this section, i.e.,

$$x^{-1}\left(1 + x^{-2}\right)^{-1}\phi(x) < 1 - \Phi(x) < x^{-1}\phi(x) \tag{2.1}$$

for all $x > 0$ and

$$\frac{1-\Phi(x)}{\phi(x)} \sim \frac{1}{x} \tag{2.2}$$

as $x \to \infty$. For some improved Mills inequalities, see Mitrinović and Vasić (1970, pages 177-180) and references therein.

First we derive Mills inequalities and Mills ratios of $\mathrm{SN}(\lambda)$, which are stated as follows.

Proposition 1. *Let $F_\lambda(x)$ and $f_\lambda(x)$ denote the cdf and the pdf of $\mathrm{SN}(\lambda)$, respectively. For all $x > 0$, we have*

(i). if $\lambda > 0$,

$$x^{-1}\left(1+x^{-2}\right)^{-1} < \frac{1-F_\lambda(x)}{f_\lambda(x)} < x^{-1}\left(1-\frac{\phi(\lambda x)}{\lambda x}\right)^{-1}, \tag{2.3}$$

which implies

$$\frac{1-F_\lambda(x)}{f_\lambda(x)} \sim \frac{1}{x} \tag{2.4}$$

as $x \to \infty$;

(ii). if $\lambda < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & x^{-1}\left(1+x^{-2}\right)^{-1}\left(1-\frac{\lambda^2}{1+\lambda^2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\lambda^2 x^2}\right)\right) \\ & < \frac{1-F_\lambda(x)}{f_\lambda(x)} \\ & < x^{-1}\left(1-\frac{\lambda^2}{1+\lambda^2}\left(1+\frac{1}{(1+\lambda^2)\,x^2}\right)^{-1}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

which implies

$$\frac{1-F_\lambda(x)}{f_\lambda(x)} \sim \frac{1}{(1+\lambda^2)\,x} \tag{2.6}$$

as $x \to \infty$.

Proof. For $x > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{x^2}\int_x^\infty \Phi(\lambda t)\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\right)dt \\ & > \int_x^\infty \frac{1}{t^2}\Phi(\lambda t)\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\right)dt \\ = \;& x^{-1}\Phi(\lambda x)\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) - \int_x^\infty \Phi(\lambda t)\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\right)dt \\ & + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_x^\infty t^{-1}\exp\left(-\frac{1+\lambda^2}{2}t^2\right)dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Hence,

$$\left(1+\frac{1}{x^2}\right)\int_x^\infty \Phi(\lambda t)\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\right)dt$$
$$> x^{-1}\Phi(\lambda x)\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)+\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_x^\infty t^{-1}\exp\left(-\frac{1+\lambda^2}{2}t^2\right)dt. \tag{2.8}$$

In the case of $\lambda > 0$, by (2.8), we can get

$$\left(1+\frac{1}{x^2}\right)\int_x^\infty \Phi(\lambda t)\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\right)dt > x^{-1}\Phi(\lambda x)\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right),$$

i.e.,

$$\int_x^\infty \Phi(\lambda t)\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\right)dt > x^{-1}\left(1+\frac{1}{x^2}\right)^{-1}\Phi(\lambda x)\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right). \tag{2.9}$$

By using (2.7) and (2.1), we have

$$\int_x^\infty \Phi(\lambda t)\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\right)dt$$
$$< x^{-1}\Phi(\lambda x)\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)+\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_x^\infty t^{-1}\exp\left(-\frac{1+\lambda^2}{2}t^2\right)dt$$
$$< x^{-1}\Phi(\lambda x)\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)\left(1+\frac{\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_x^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{1+\lambda^2}{2}t^2\right)dt}{\Phi(\lambda x)\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)}\right)$$
$$< x^{-1}\Phi(\lambda x)\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)\left(1+\frac{\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_x^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2t^2}{2}\right)dt}{\Phi(\lambda x)}\right)$$
$$< x^{-1}\Phi(\lambda x)\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{\phi(\lambda x)}{\lambda x}\right)^{-1}. \tag{2.10}$$

Combining (2.9) with (2.10), we can derive (2.3) and (2.4).

In the case of $\lambda < 0$, by (2.8) and (2.1), we have

$$\left(1+\frac{1}{x^2}\right)\int_x^\infty \Phi(\lambda t)\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\right)dt$$
$$> x^{-1}\Phi(\lambda x)\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)\left(1+\frac{\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}}\left(1-\Phi\left(\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}x\right)\right)}{(1-\Phi(-\lambda x))\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)}\right)$$
$$> x^{-1}\Phi(\lambda x)\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{\lambda^2}{1+\lambda^2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\lambda^2x^2}\right)\right). \tag{2.11}$$

For $x > 0$,

$$\int_x^\infty \Phi(\lambda t)\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\right)dt < x^{-1}\Phi(\lambda x)\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{\lambda^2}{1+\lambda^2}\left(1+\frac{1}{(1+\lambda^2)\,x^2}\right)^{-1}\right). \tag{2.12}$$

Combining (2.11) with (2.12), we can derive (2.5) and (2.6). □

By Proposition 1, we can derive the distributional tail representation of the skew normal distribution. This representation is useful to find optimal normalized constants to establish expansions of the distribution of the maxima for SN(λ) samples. Similar expansions for SN(0) samples were given in Nair (1981).

Proposition 2. *Let $F_\lambda(x)$ and $f_\lambda(x)$ denote the cdf and the pdf of* SN(λ)*, respectively. Then,*

$$1 - F_\lambda(x) = c(x) \exp\left(- \int_1^x \frac{g(t)}{f(t)} dt \right)$$

for large x, where $c(x)$, $g(x)$ and the auxiliary function $f(x)$ are determined according to the sign of λ, i.e.,

(i). for $\lambda > 0$,

$$c(x) \to \left(\frac{2}{\pi e} \right)^{1/2} \quad as \quad x \to \infty,$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} > 0 \quad with \quad f'(x) = -\frac{1}{x^2} \to 0 \quad as \quad x \to \infty \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$g(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{x^2} \to 1 \quad as \quad x \to \infty. \tag{2.14}$$

(ii). for $\lambda < 0$,

$$c(x) \to \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1+\lambda^2}{2} \right)}{(-\lambda)\,(1+\lambda^2)\,\pi} \quad as \quad x \to \infty,$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(1+\lambda^2)x} > 0 \quad with \quad f'(x) = -\frac{1}{(1+\lambda^2)\,x^2} \to 0 \quad as \quad x \to \infty \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$g(x) = 1 + \frac{2}{(1+\lambda^2)\,x^2} \to 1 \quad as \quad x \to \infty. \tag{2.16}$$

Proposition 2 shows that $F_\lambda \in D(\Lambda)$ by Corollary 1.7 of Resnick (1987). The norming constants a_n and b_n can be determined by

$$1 - F_\lambda\,(b_n) = 1/n, \qquad a_n = f\,(b_n) \tag{2.17}$$

such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} F_\lambda^n\,(a_n x + b_n) = \Lambda(x). \tag{2.18}$$

By using Mills ratio of the skew normal distribution and arguments similar to the case of SN(0) provided in Leadbetter et al. (1983), we can choose another pair of normalized constants such that (2.18) holds.

Proposition 3. *Let $(X_n, n \geq 1)$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with common cdf $F_\lambda(x)$. Let $M_n = \max(X_k, 1 \leq k \leq n)$ denote the partial maximum. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{P}\left(M_n \leq \alpha_n x + \beta_n\right) = \Lambda(x), \tag{2.19}$$

where the norming constants α_n and β_n are given by

$$\alpha_n = (2\log n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \beta_n = (2\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\log\log n + \log\pi}{2(2\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

for $\lambda > 0$, and by

$$\alpha_n = \left(1+\lambda^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (2\log n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \beta_n = \left(\frac{2\log n}{1+\lambda^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\log\log n + \log(-2\pi\lambda)}{(1+\lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(2\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

for $\lambda < 0$.

Proof. First we consider the case of $\lambda > 0$. As F_λ is continuous, for $x \in R$, there exists $u_n = u_n(x)$ such that $n(1 - F_\lambda(u_n)) = e^{-x}$. By using (2.4), we have

$$n u_n^{-1} \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \Phi\left(\lambda u_n\right) \exp\left(x - \frac{u_n^2}{2}\right) \to 1$$

as $n \to \infty$, and so

$$\log n - \log u_n + \frac{1}{2}\left(\log 2 - \log\pi\right) - \frac{u_n^2}{2} + \log\Phi\left(\lambda u_n\right) + x \to 0 \tag{2.20}$$

as $n \to \infty$, which implies

$$\frac{u_n^2}{2\log n} \to 1$$

as $n \to \infty$. Taking logarithms, we have

$$2\log u_n - \log 2 - \log\log n \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$, so

$$\log u_n = \frac{1}{2}\left(\log 2 + \log\log n\right) + o(1). \tag{2.21}$$

Noting that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Phi(\lambda u_n) = 1$ for $\lambda > 0$ and putting (2.21) into (2.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u_n &= (2\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\log\log n + \log\pi}{2\log n} + \frac{x}{\log n} + o\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= (2\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\log\log n + \log\pi}{4\log n} + \frac{x}{2\log n} + o\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right) \\
&= \frac{x}{(2\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + (2\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\log\log n + \log\pi}{2(2\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + o\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \quad \alpha_n x + \beta_n + o(\alpha_n).$$

So, by Theorem 1.2.3 and Theorem 1.5.1 of Leadbetter et al. (1983), we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{P}(M_n \le \alpha_n x + \beta_n) = \Lambda(x)$$

with

$$\alpha_n = (2\log n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$\beta_n = (2\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\log\log n + \log\pi}{2(2\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Similarly, for $\lambda < 0$ there exists $v_n = v_n(x)$ such that $n(1 - F_\lambda(v_n)) = e^{-x}$. By using (2.6) and (2.2), we have

$$n v_n^{-2} \left(1+\lambda^2\right)^{-1} (-\lambda\pi)^{-1} \exp\left(x - \frac{1+\lambda^2}{2} v_n^2\right) \to 1$$

as $n \to \infty$. By arguments similar to the case of $\lambda > 0$, we can derive

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{P}(M_n \le \alpha_n x + \beta_n) = \Lambda(x)$$

with

$$\alpha_n = \left(1+\lambda^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (2\log n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$\beta_n = \left(\frac{2\log n}{1+\lambda^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\log\log n + \log(-2\lambda\pi)}{(1+\lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(2\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

The proof is complete. □

3 Convergence rates of extremes

In this section, we establish two different convergence rates of the distribution of M_n: one for the norming constants α_n and β_n given by Proposition 3, and the other for the norming constants a_n and b_n determined by (2.17).

Theorem 1. *For norming constants α_n and β_n given by Proposition 3, we have*

(i). For $\lambda > 0$,

$$F_\lambda^n(\alpha_n x + \beta_n) - \Lambda(x) \sim \frac{\Lambda(x)e^{-x}}{16} \frac{(\log\log n)^2}{\log n} \tag{3.1}$$

as $n \to \infty$;

(ii). For $\lambda < 0$,

$$F_\lambda^n(\alpha_n x + \beta_n) - \Lambda(x) \sim \frac{\Lambda(x)e^{-x}}{4}\frac{(\log\log n)^2}{\log n} \tag{3.2}$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. Set $u_n = \alpha_n x + \beta_n$ and $\tau_n = n(1 - F_\lambda(u_n))$, where α_n and β_n are given by Proposition 3.

For $\lambda > 0$,

$$u_n = (2\log n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}x + (2\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2^{-1}(2\log n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\log\log n + \log\pi)$$

implies

$$u_n^2 = 2\left(\log n + x - \frac{\log\log n + \log\pi}{2} + \frac{(\log\log n)^2}{16\log n}(1 + o(1))\right),$$

$$u_n^{-1} = (2\log n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log\log n}{\log n}\right)\right)$$

and

$$O\left(u_n^{-2}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right).$$

Noting that $\log\Phi(\lambda u_n) = o\left((\log\log n)^2/\log n\right)$ for large n and rewriting (2.3) as

$$\frac{1}{x}\left(1 - \frac{1}{1+x^2}\right) < \frac{1 - F_\lambda(x)}{f_\lambda(x)} < \frac{1}{x}\left(1 + \frac{\phi(\lambda x)}{\lambda x - \phi(\lambda x)}\right),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_n &= n\left(1 - F_\lambda\left(u_n\right)\right) \\
&= \frac{2n}{\sqrt{2\pi}u_n}\exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2}{2} + \log\Phi\left(\lambda u_n\right)\right)\left(1 + O\left(u_n^{-2}\right)\right) \\
&= e^{-x}\left(1 - \frac{(\log\log n)^2}{16\log n}(1 + o(1))\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, for $\tau(x) = e^{-x}$,

$$\tau(x) - \tau_n(x) = e^{-x}\frac{(\log\log n)^2}{16\log n}\left(1 + o(1)\right) \sim e^{-x}\frac{(\log\log n)^2}{16\log n}$$

for large n. So, by using Theorem 2.4.2 of Leadbetter et al. (1983), we can get (3.1).

In the case of $\lambda < 0$, using (2.5) and the following fact

$$1 - \Phi(x) = \frac{\phi(x)}{x}\left[1 + O\left(x^{-2}\right)\right],$$

we can get (3.2) by arguments similar to the case of $\lambda > 0$. □

Theorem 2 shows that the convergence rates of the distribution of the normalized maxima are different even though both (2.18) and (2.19) hold, which implies $\alpha_n/a_n \to 1$ and $(\beta_n - b_n)/a_n \to 0$ by Khintchine Theorem (cf. Leadbetter et al. (1983), Resnick (1987)). The auxiliary function $f(x)$ of the distributional tail representation of $F_\lambda(x)$ plays an important role on the convergence rates for distributions belonging to the domain of attraction of the Gumbel extreme value distribution. For related work, see Peng et al. (2010) and Liao and Peng (2012): Peng et al. (2010) derived convergence rates of the distribution of maxima for random sequences obeying the general error distribution; Liao and Peng (2012) derived convergence rates of the distribution of maxima for random sequences obeying the lognormal distribution. Another interesting application of the auxiliary function $f(x)$ is to characterize $F \in D(\Lambda)$. For more details, see Goldie and Resnick (1988).

Theorem 2. *For norming constants a_n and b_n given by* (2.17)*, we have*

$$b_n^2\left[b_n^2\left(F_\lambda^n\left(a_n x + b_n\right) - \Lambda(x)\right) - \kappa(x)\Lambda(x)\right] \to \left(\omega(x) + \frac{\kappa^2(x)}{2}\right)\Lambda(x) \tag{3.3}$$

as $n \to \infty$, where both $\kappa(x)$ and $\omega(x)$ may depend on λ according to the sign of λ as:

(i). for $\lambda > 0$,

$$\kappa(x) = 2^{-1}\left(x^2 + 2x\right)e^{-x}, \quad \omega(x) = -8^{-1}\left(x^4 + 4x^3 + 8x^2 + 16x\right)e^{-x};$$

(ii). for $\lambda < 0$,

$$\kappa(x) = 2^{-1}\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^{-1}\left(x^2 + 4x\right)e^{-x}$$

and

$$\omega(x) = -8^{-1}\lambda^{-2}\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^{-2}\left[\lambda^2 x^4 + 8\lambda^2 x^3 + 24\lambda^2 x^2 + 16\left(1+3\lambda^2\right)x\right]e^{-x}.$$

To prove Theorem 2, we need two lemmas. The first lemma is about a decomposition of the distributional tail representation of the skew normal distribution.

Lemma 1. *Let $F_\lambda(x)$ denote the cdf of the skew normal distribution. For large x, we have*

(i). for $\lambda > 0$,

$$1 - F_\lambda(x) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi e}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[1 - x^{-2} + 3x^{-4} + O\left(x^{-6}\right)\right]\Phi(\lambda x)\exp\left(-\int_1^x \frac{g(t)}{f(t)}dt\right) \tag{3.4}$$

with $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ given by (2.13) *and* (2.14)*, respectively;*

(ii). for $\lambda < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - F_\lambda(x) &= \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1+\lambda^2}{2}\right)}{(-\lambda)\pi\left(1+\lambda^2\right)}\Big[1 - \frac{1+3\lambda^2}{\lambda^2\left(1+\lambda^2\right)}x^{-2} \\ &\quad + \frac{15\lambda^4 + 10\lambda^2 + 3}{\lambda^4\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^2}x^{-4} + O\left(x^{-6}\right)\Big]\exp\left(-\int_1^x \frac{g(t)}{f(t)}dt\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

with $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ given by (2.15) *and* (2.16)*, respectively.*

Proof. By integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
1-F_\lambda(x) &= \frac{f_\lambda(x)}{x}\Bigg[1-x^{-2}+3x^{-4}-15x^{-6}+\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2}\frac{\phi(\lambda x)}{\Phi(\lambda x)}x^{-1}-\frac{\lambda\left(3+\lambda^2\right)}{\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^2}\frac{\phi(\lambda x)}{\Phi(\lambda x)}x^{-3} \\
&\qquad +\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2}\left(3+\frac{4\left(3+\lambda^2\right)}{\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^2}\right)\frac{\phi(\lambda x)}{\Phi(\lambda x)}x^{-5} \\
&\qquad -\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2}\left(15+\frac{6}{1+\lambda^2}\left(3+\frac{4\left(3+\lambda^2\right)}{\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^2}\right)\right)\frac{\phi(\lambda x)}{\Phi(\lambda x)}x^{-7}\Bigg] \\
&\quad +\frac{210}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_x^\infty t^{-8}\Phi(\lambda t)\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\right)dt \\
&\quad +\frac{8\lambda}{\pi\left(1+\lambda^2\right)}\left(15+\frac{18}{1+\lambda^2}\right)\int_x^\infty t^{-9}\exp\left(-\frac{1+\lambda^2}{2}t^2\right)dt \\
&\quad +\frac{192\lambda\left(3+\lambda^2\right)}{\pi\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^4}\int_x^\infty t^{-9}\exp\left(-\frac{1+\lambda^2}{2}t^2\right)dt. \qquad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that both

$$0<\int_x^\infty t^{-8}\Phi(\lambda t)\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\right)dt<x^{-9}\Phi(\lambda x)\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)+\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_x^\infty t^{-9}\exp\left(-\frac{1+\lambda^2}{2}t^2\right)dt \qquad (3.7)$$

and

$$0<\int_x^\infty t^{-9}\exp\left(-\frac{1+\lambda^2}{2}t^2\right)dt<\frac{x^{-10}}{1+\lambda^2}\exp\left(-\frac{1+\lambda^2}{2}x^2\right) \qquad (3.8)$$

hold for $x>0$.

For $\lambda>0$, it is obvious that $x^5\phi(\lambda x)\to 0$ and $\Phi(\lambda x)\to 1$ as $x\to\infty$. So, by (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
1-F_\lambda(x) &= \frac{f_\lambda(x)}{x}\left(1-x^{-2}+3x^{-4}+O\left(x^{-6}\right)\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{2}{\pi e}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-x^{-2}+3x^{-4}+O\left(x^{-6}\right)\right)\Phi(\lambda x)\exp\left(-\int_1^x t\left(1+\frac{1}{t^2}\right)dt\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which is (3.4).

In the case of $\lambda<0$, first notice that

$$1-\Phi(x)=\frac{\phi(x)}{x}\left[1-x^{-2}+3x^{-4}+O\left(x^{-6}\right)\right] \qquad (3.9)$$

for large x, cf. Castro (1987). So, by (3.6) and (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
1-F_\lambda(x) &= \frac{2\phi(x)}{x}\Bigg[\Phi(\lambda x)\left(1-x^{-2}+3x^{-4}+O\left(x^{-6}\right)\right)+\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2}\frac{\phi(\lambda x)}{x}-\frac{\lambda\left(3+\lambda^2\right)}{\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^2}\frac{\phi(\lambda x)}{x^3} \\
&\qquad +\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2}\left(3+\frac{4\left(3+\lambda^2\right)}{\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^2}\right)\frac{\phi(\lambda x)}{x^5}+O\left(\frac{\phi(\lambda x)}{x^7}\right)\Bigg]
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1+\lambda^2}{2}x^2\right)}{(-\lambda)\pi\left(1+\lambda^2\right)x^2}\left(1-\frac{1+3\lambda^2}{\lambda^2\left(1+\lambda^2\right)}x^{-2}+\frac{15\lambda^4+10\lambda^2+3}{\lambda^4\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^2}x^{-4}+O\left(x^{-6}\right)\right)\\
&= \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1+\lambda^2}{2}\right)}{(-\lambda)\pi\left(1+\lambda^2\right)}\Big(1-\frac{1+3\lambda^2}{\lambda^2\left(1+\lambda^2\right)}x^{-2}+\frac{15\lambda^4+10\lambda^2+3}{\lambda^4\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^2}x^{-4}\\
&\qquad +O\left(x^{-6}\right)\Big)\exp\left(-\int_1^x\left(1+\lambda^2\right)t\left(1+\frac{2}{\left(1+\lambda^2\right)t^2}\right)dt\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is (3.5). The proof is complete. □

To prove (3.3), we need the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 2. *Let $H_\lambda(b_n;x)=F_\lambda(a_nx+b_n)$ and $h_\lambda(b_n;x)=n\log H_\lambda(b_n;x)+e^{-x}$ with the normalized constants a_n and b_n given by* (2.17)*. Then,*

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n^2\Big(b_n^2h_\lambda\left(b_n;x\right)-\kappa(x)\Big)=\omega(x), \tag{3.10}
$$

where $\kappa(x)$ and $\omega(x)$ are those given by Theorem 2.

Proof. First, we consider the case of $\lambda>0$. Obviously, $b_n\to\infty$ if and only if $n\to\infty$ since $1-F_\lambda(b_n)=n^{-1}$. The following two facts hold by Proposition 1:

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{b_n\left(1-F_\lambda\left(b_n+b_n^{-1}x\right)\right)}{f_\lambda\left(b_n\right)}=e^{-x} \tag{3.11}
$$

and

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1-F_\lambda\left(b_n+b_n^{-1}x\right)}{b_n^{-4}}=0. \tag{3.12}
$$

For simplicity, let

$$
A_\lambda\left(b_n\right)=\frac{\Phi\left(\lambda b_n\right)\left(1-b_n^{-2}+3b_n^{-4}+O\left(b_n^{-6}\right)\right)}{\Phi\left(\lambda\left(b_n+b_n^{-1}x\right)\right)\left(1-\left(b_n+b_n^{-1}x\right)^{-2}+3\left(b_n+b_n^{-1}x\right)^{-4}+O\left(\left(b_n+b_n^{-1}x\right)^{-6}\right)\right)}.
$$

Then $\lim_{n\to\infty}A_\lambda(b_n)=1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_\lambda\left(b_n\right)-1 &= (1+o(1))\Big[\Phi\left(\lambda b_n\right)-\Phi\left(\lambda\left(b_n+b_n^{-1}x\right)\right)+b_n^{-2}\left(\Phi\left(\lambda\left(b_n+b_n^{-1}x\right)\right)-\Phi\left(\lambda b_n\right)\right)\\
&\quad -2xb_n^{-4}\Phi\left(\lambda\left(b_n+b_n^{-1}x\right)\right)+3b_n^{-4}\left(\Phi\left(\lambda b_n\right)-\Phi\left(\lambda\left(b_n+b_n^{-1}x\right)\right)\left(1+b_n^{-2}x\right)^{-4}\right)\\
&\quad +O\left(b_n^{-6}\right)\Big].
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we can check that both

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{A_\lambda\left(b_n\right)-1}{b_n^{-2}}=0 \tag{3.13}
$$

and

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{A_\lambda\left(b_n\right)-1}{b_n^{-4}}=-2x \tag{3.14}
$$

hold. By (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1-F_\lambda\left(b_n\right)}{1-F_\lambda\left(b_n+b_n^{-1}x\right)}e^{-x} &= A_\lambda\left(b_n\right)\exp\left(\int_0^x\left(b_n^{-2}y+\frac{1}{b_n^2\left(1+b_n^{-2}y\right)}\right)dy\right)\\ &= A_\lambda\left(b_n\right)\Big[1+\int_0^x\left(b_n^{-2}y+\frac{1}{b_n^2\left(1+b_n^{-2}y\right)}\right)dy\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_0^x\left(b_n^{-2}y+\frac{1}{b_n^2\left(1+b_n^{-2}y\right)}\right)dy\right)^2\left(1+o(1)\right)\Big]. \qquad (3.15)\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.4), (3.11), (3.12), (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15) together, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty}b_n^2h_\lambda\left(b_n;x\right) &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log H_\lambda\left(b_n;x\right)+n^{-1}e^{-x}}{n^{-1}b_n^{-2}}\\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log F_\lambda\left(b_n+b_n^{-1}(x)\right)+\left[1-F_\lambda\left(b_n\right)\right]e^{-x}}{f_\lambda\left(b_n\right)b_n^{-3}}\\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{-\left[1-F_\lambda\left(b_n+b_n^{-1}x\right)\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left[1-F_\lambda\left(b_n+b_n^{-1}x\right)\right]^2\left(1+o(1)\right)}{f_\lambda\left(b_n\right)b_n^{-3}}\\ &\quad+\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\left[1-F_\lambda\left(b_n\right)\right]e^{-x}}{f_\lambda\left(b_n\right)b_n^{-3}}\\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{b_n\left[1-F_\lambda\left(b_n+b_n^{-1}x\right)\right]}{f_\lambda\left(b_n\right)}\frac{\frac{1-F_\lambda(b_n)}{1-F_\lambda\left(b_n+b_n^{-1}x\right)}e^{-x}-1}{b_n^{-2}}\\ &= e^{-x}\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{A_\lambda\left(b_n\right)-1+A_\lambda\left(b_n\right)\int_0^x\left(b_n^{-2}y+\frac{1}{b_n^2\left(1+b_n^{-2}y\right)}\right)dy\left(1+o(1)\right)}{b_n^{-2}}\\ &= e^{-x}\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_0^x\left(y+\frac{1}{1+b_n^{-2}y}\right)dy\\ &= 2^{-1}\left(x^2+2x\right)e^{-x}=\kappa(x), \qquad (3.16)\end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows by the dominated convergence theorem. It remains to prove (3.10) for $\lambda>0$. By arguments similar to those of (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{n\to\infty}b_n^2\Big[b_n^2h_\lambda\left(b_n;x\right)-\kappa(x)\Big]\\ =\ &\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log H_\lambda\left(b_n;x\right)+n^{-1}e^{-x}-b_n^{-2}n^{-1}\kappa(x)}{n^{-1}b_n^{-4}}\\ =\ &\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log F_\lambda\left(b_n+b_n^{-1}x\right)+\left[1-F_\lambda\left(b_n\right)\right]e^{-x}\left(1-b_n^{-2}\frac{x^2+2x}{2}\right)}{f_\lambda\left(b_n\right)b_n^{-5}}\\ =\ &\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{b_n\left[1-F_\lambda\left(b_n+b_n^{-1}x\right)\right]}{f_\lambda\left(b_n\right)}\frac{\frac{1-F_\lambda(b_n)}{1-F_\lambda\left(b_n+b_n^{-1}x\right)}e^{-x}\left(1-b_n^{-2}\frac{x^2+2x}{2}\right)-1}{b_n^{-4}}\\ =\ &e^{-x}\lim_{n\to\infty}\Bigg[A_\lambda\left(b_n\right)b_n^2\left(\int_0^x\left(y+\frac{1}{1+b_n^{-2}y}\right)dy-\frac{x^2+2x}{2}\right)\\ &\qquad-A_\lambda\left(b_n\right)\frac{x^2+2x}{2}\int_0^x\left(y+\frac{1}{1+b_n^{-2}y}\right)dy\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+A_\lambda\left(b_n\right)\left(\int_0^x\left(y+\frac{1}{1+b_n^{-2}y}\right)dy\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{x^2+2x}{4b_n^2}\right)(1+o(1)) \\
&+\frac{A_\lambda\left(b_n\right)-1}{b_n^{-4}}\Bigg] \\
= &\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x^2+2x}{2}\right)^2-2x\right)e^{-x} \\
= &-8^{-1}\left(x^4+4x^3+8x^2+16x\right)e^{-x}=\omega(x).
\end{aligned}
$$

Second, we consider the case of $\lambda<0$. By (2.6), (2.15), and arguments similar to the case of $\lambda>0$, we can see that

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{b_n\left[1-F_\lambda\left(b_n+\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^{-1}b_n^{-1}x\right)\right]}{\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^{-1}f_\lambda\left(b_n\right)}=e^{-x} \tag{3.17}
$$

and

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1-F_\lambda\left(b_n+\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^{-1}b_n^{-1}x\right)}{b_n^{-4}}=0 \tag{3.18}
$$

hold. Setting

$$
B_\lambda\left(b_n\right)=\frac{1-\frac{1+3\lambda^2}{\lambda^2(1+\lambda^2)}b_n^{-2}+\frac{15\lambda^4+10\lambda^2+3}{\lambda^4(1+\lambda^2)^2}b_n^{-4}+O\left(b_n^{-6}\right)}{1-\frac{1+3\lambda^2}{\lambda^2(1+\lambda^2)}\left[b_n+\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^{-1}b_n^{-1}x\right]^{-2}+\frac{15\lambda^4+10\lambda^2+3}{\lambda^4(1+\lambda^2)^2}\left[b_n+\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^{-1}b_n^{-1}x\right]^{-4}+O\left(b_n^{-6}\right)},
$$

we have $\lim_{n\to\infty}B_\lambda(b_n)=1$ and

$$
B_\lambda\left(b_n\right)-1=(1+o(1))\left[-\frac{2\left(1+3\lambda^2\right)x}{\lambda^2\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^2}b_n^{-4}+O\left(b_n^{-6}\right)\right],
$$

which implies

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{B_\lambda\left(b_n\right)-1}{b_n^{-2}}=0 \tag{3.19}
$$

and

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{B_\lambda\left(b_n\right)-1}{b_n^{-4}}=-\frac{2\left(1+3\lambda^2\right)x}{\lambda^2\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^2}. \tag{3.20}
$$

By (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1-F_\lambda\left(b_n\right)}{1-F_\lambda\left(b_n+\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^{-1}b_n^{-1}x\right)}e^{-x} \\
= &B_\lambda\left(b_n\right)\exp\left(\int_0^x\left(\frac{y}{\left(1+\lambda^2\right)b_n^2}+\frac{2}{1+\lambda^2}\frac{1}{b_n^2\left[1+\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^{-1}b_n^{-2}y\right]}\right)dy\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$= B_\lambda(b_n)\left[1+\int_0^x\left(\frac{y}{(1+\lambda^2)b_n^2}+\frac{2}{1+\lambda^2}\frac{1}{b_n^2\left[1+(1+\lambda^2)^{-1}b_n^{-2}y\right]}\right)dy\right.$$
$$\left.+\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_0^x\left(\frac{y}{(1+\lambda^2)b_n^2}+\frac{2}{1+\lambda^2}\frac{1}{b_n^2\left[1+(1+\lambda^2)^{-1}b_n^{-2}y\right]}\right)dy\right)^2(1+o(1))\right]. \quad (3.21)$$

Combining (2.6), (3.17), (3.18), (3.19), (3.20) and (3.21) together, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty}b_n^2h_\lambda(b_n;x) &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{b_n\left[1-F_\lambda\left(b_n+\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^{-1}b_n^{-1}x\right)\right]}{(1+\lambda^2)^{-1}f_\lambda(b_n)}\frac{\frac{1-F_\lambda(b_n)}{1-F_\lambda\left(b_n+(1+\lambda^2)^{-1}b_n^{-1}x\right)}e^{-x}-1}{b_n^{-2}}\\ &= e^{-x}\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{B_\lambda(b_n)-1+B_\lambda(b_n)\int_0^x\left(\frac{y}{(1+\lambda^2)b_n^2}+\frac{2/\left(1+\lambda^2\right)}{b_n^2\left[1+(1+\lambda^2)^{-1}b_n^{-2}y\right]}\right)dy}{b_n^{-2}}\\ &= 2^{-1}\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^{-1}\left(x^2+4x\right)e^{-x}=\kappa(x)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{n\to\infty}b_n^2\left[b_n^2h_\lambda(b_n;x)-\kappa(x)\right]\\ =\ &\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{b_n\left[1-F_\lambda\left(b_n+\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^{-1}b_n^{-1}x\right)\right]}{(1+\lambda^2)^{-1}f_\lambda(b_n)}\frac{\frac{1-F_\lambda(b_n)}{1-F_\lambda\left(b_n+(1+\lambda^2)^{-1}b_n^{-1}x\right)}e^{-x}\left[1-b_n^{-2}\frac{x^2+4x}{2(1+\lambda^2)}\right]-1}{b_n^{-4}}\\ =\ &e^{-x}\lim_{n\to\infty}\left[\frac{B_\lambda(b_n)-1}{b_n^{-4}}+B_\lambda(b_n)b_n^2\int_0^x\left(\frac{y}{1+\lambda^2}+\frac{2}{1+\lambda^2}\frac{1}{1+(1+\lambda^2)^{-1}b_n^{-2}y}\right)dy\right.\\ &-B_\lambda(b_n)b_n^2\frac{x^2+4x}{2(1+\lambda^2)}\\ &-B_\lambda(b_n)\frac{x^2+4x}{2(1+\lambda^2)}\int_0^x\left(\frac{y}{1+\lambda^2}+\frac{2}{1+\lambda^2}\frac{1}{1+(1+\lambda^2)^{-1}b_n^{-2}y}\right)dy\\ &+\frac{1}{2}B_\lambda(b_n)\left(\int_0^x\left(\frac{y}{1+\lambda^2}+\frac{2}{1+\lambda^2}\frac{1}{1+(1+\lambda^2)^{-1}b_n^{-2}y}\right)dy\right)^2(1+o(1))\\ &\left.-\frac{1}{2}b_n^{-2}B_\lambda(b_n)\left(\int_0^x\left(\frac{y}{1+\lambda^2}+\frac{2}{1+\lambda^2}\frac{1}{1+(1+\lambda^2)^{-1}b_n^{-2}y}\right)dy\right)^2\frac{x^2+4x}{2(1+\lambda^2)}(1+o(1))\right]\\ =\ &e^{-x}\left[-\frac{2\left(1+3\lambda^2\right)}{\lambda^2(1+\lambda^2)^2}x-\frac{x^2}{(1+\lambda^2)^2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x^2+4x}{2(1+\lambda^2)}\right)^2\right]\\ =\ &-8^{-1}\lambda^{-2}\left(1+\lambda^2\right)^{-2}\left[\lambda^2x^4+8\lambda^2x^3+24\lambda^2x^2+16\left(1+3\lambda^2\right)x\right]e^{-x}=\omega(x).\end{aligned}$$

The claimed result follows for $\lambda<0$. The proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 2. Obviously, by Lemma 2, we have $h_\lambda(b_n;x)\to 0$ and

$$\left|\sum_{i=3}^{\infty}\frac{h_\lambda^{i-3}(b_n;x)}{i!}\right|<\exp\left[h_\lambda(b_n;x)\right]\to 1$$

as $n\to\infty$. By using Lemma 2 once again, we have

$$b_n^2\left[b_n^2\left(F_\lambda^n(a_nx+b_n)-\Lambda(x)\right)-\kappa(x)\Lambda(x)\right]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= b_n^2\Big[b_n^2\left(\exp\left(h_\lambda\left(b_n;x\right)\right)-1\right)-\kappa(x)\Big]\Lambda(x)\\
&= \left[b_n^2\left(b_n^2 h_\lambda\left(b_n;x\right)-\kappa(x)\right)+b_n^4 h_\lambda^2\left(b_n;x\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}+h_\lambda\left(b_n;x\right)\sum_{i=3}^{\infty}\frac{h_\lambda^{i-3}\left(b_n;x\right)}{i!}\right)\right]\Lambda(x)\\
&\to \left[\omega(x)+\frac{\kappa^2(x)}{2}\right]\Lambda(x).
\end{aligned}
$$

The desired result follows. □

Theorem 2 establishes the asymptotic expansion of $F_\lambda^n(a_n x+b_n)$. Meanwhile the convergence rate of $F_\lambda^n(a_n x+b_n)$ to its limit distribution $\Lambda(x)$ is proportional to $1/\log n$ by Theorem 2 since one can check that $1/b_n^2 = O\left(1/\log n\right)$ through (2.17).

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China no.11171275, the Natural Science Foundation Project of CQ no. cstc2012jjA00029 and the SWU grant for Statistics Ph.D.

References

[1] Azzalini, A. (1985). A class of distributions which includes the normal ones. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, **12**, 171-178.

[2] Bandyopadhyay, D., Lachos, V. H., Castro, L. M. and Dey, D. K. (2012). Skew-normal/independent linear mixed models for censored responses with applications to HIV viral loads. *Biometrical Journal*, **54**, 405-425.

[3] Castro, L. C. E. (1987). Uniform rate of convergence in extreme-value theory: Normal and gamma models. *Annales Scientifiques de l'Univesité de Clerment-Ferrand 2, tome 90, série Probabilités et Applications*, **6**, 25-41.

[4] Chang, S. and Genton, M. G. (2007). Extreme value distributions for the skew-symmetric family of distributions. *Communications in Statistics—Theory and Methods*, **36**, 1705-1717.

[5] Ghizzoni, T., Roth, G. and Rudari, R. (2012). Multisite flooding hazard assessment in the Upper Mississippi River. *Journal of Hydrology*, **412**, 101-113.

[6] Goldie, C. M. and Resnick, S. I. (1988). Distributions that are both subexponential and in the domain of attraction of an extreme-value distribution. *Journal of Applied Probability*, **20**, 706-718.

[7] Hall, P. (1979). On the rate of convergence of normal extremes. *Journal of Applied Probability*, **16**, 433-439.

[8] Islam, A. E. and Alam, M. A. (2011). Analyzing the distribution of threshold voltage degradation in nanoscale transistors by using reaction-diffusion and percolation theory. *Journal of Computational Electronics*, **10**, 341-351.

[9] Leadbetter, M. R., Lindgren, G. and Rootzén, H. (1983). *Extremes and Related Properties of Random Sequences and Processes*. Springer Verlag, New York.

[10] Liao, X. and Peng, Z. (2012). Convergence rates of limit distribution of maxima of lognormal samples. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **395**, 643-653.

[11] Liu, X. -H., Li, Y. -G., Shen, G. -C., Weng, D. -M., Zhang, H. -W. and Zhang, F. -G. (2011). Population structure of Schima superba in Qingliangfeng National Nature Reserve. *Forest Research*, **24**, 28-32.

[12] Mansourian, M., Kazemnejad, A., Kazemi, I., Zayeri, F. and Soheilian, M. (2012). Bayesian analysis of longitudinal ordered data with flexible random effects using McMC: application to diabetic macular Edema data. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, **39**, 1087-1100.

[13] Mills, J. P. (1926). Table of the ratio: area to bounding ordinate, for any portion of the normal curve. *Biometrika*, **18**, 395-400.

[14] Mitrinović, D. S. and Vasić, P. M. (1970). *Analytic Inequalities*. Springer Verlag, New York.

[15] Nair, K. A. (1981). Asymptotic distribution and moments of normal extremes. *Annals of Probability*, **9**, 150-153.

[16] Paulson, K. and Al-Mreri, A. (2011). A rain height model to predict fading due to wet snow on terrestrial links. *Radio Science*, **46**, Article Number: RS4010.

[17] Peng, Z., Nadarajah, S. and Lin, F. (2010). Convergence rate of extremes from general error distribution. *Journal of Applied Probability*, **47**, 668-679.

[18] Pinheiro, M. and Esteves, P. S. (2012). On the uncertainty and risks of macroeconomic forecasts: combining judgements with sample and model information. *Empirical Economics*, **42**, 639-665.

[19] Resnick, S. I. (1987). *Extreme Values, Regular Variation and Point Processes*. Springer Verlag, New York.

[20] Saez, A. J., Prieto, F. and Sarabia, J. M. (2012). A two-tail version of the PPS distribution with application to current account balance data. *Physica A—Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, **391**, 5160-5171.

[21] Siebert, A. B. and Ward, M. N. (2011). Future occurrence of threshold-crossing seasonal rainfall totals: Methodology and application to sites in Africa. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, **50**, 560-578.

[22] Temko, A., Stevenson, N., Marnane, W., Boylan, G. and Lightbody, G. (2012). Inclusion of temporal priors for automated neonatal EEG classification. *Journal of Neural Engineering*, **9**, Article Number: 046002.